



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,056	12/31/2001	Byeong-Dae Choi	053785-5045	5637
9629	7590	02/26/2008	EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				WARREN, MATTHEW E
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2815				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		02/26/2008		PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/032,056	CHOI, BYEONG-DAE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew E. Warren	2815	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-29 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on November 27, 2007.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Prior Art Figures 2 and 3F (APAF) in view of Kakuda et al. (US 5,162,933).

In re claim 1, APAF 2 and 3F show an array substrate for a liquid crystal display device, comprising a substrate (22) a plurality of gate lines (25) arranged transversely on the substrate; a plurality of data lines (27) disposed orthogonal to the plurality of gate lines. A plurality of thin film transistors is formed on the substrate adjacent to intersections of the gate lines and the data lines. Each thin film transistor includes a gate electrode (32), a gate insulation layer (41), an active layer (45), an ohmic contact layer (47), a source electrode (33), the source electrode extended from each of data lines, and a drain electrode (35). A plurality of pixel electrodes (17) are disposed at pixel regions (P) defined by the intersections of the gate lines and the data lines wherein each pixel electrode connected to a corresponding one of the drain electrodes. A metal layer (28) is formed at peripheral portions of the drain electrode to extend from the pixel electrode. The APAF shows all of the elements of the claim except the metal layer

formed on an entire surface of each of the data lines and the source electrode. Kakuda et al. shows (figs. 3 and 4) an LCD device having data line (11) with a metal layer formed on the entire surface. A source electrode (22) extends from the data line (col. 4, lines 50-53) and is also covered with a metal layer (11b in fig. 4). With such a configuration, the resistance of the data lines is reduced. (col. 7, lines 51-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the data line of the APAF by forming a metal layer on the entire data line as taught by Kakuda to reduce the resistance of the data lines.

In re claims 2 and 11, the APAF shows (fig. 3B) that the gate insulation layer (41) is disposed on the gate electrode or a plurality of gate electrodes as shown in figure 2.

In re claim 3, the APAF shows (fig. 3B) that the active layer (45) is disposed on the gate insulation layer, and the ohmic contact layer (47) is disposed on the active layer.

In re claim 4, the APAF (fig. 3F) shows that the source electrode (33) and the drain electrode (35) are disposed on the ohmic contact layer.

In re claims 5 and 6, the APAF shows (fig. 2) that the source electrode extends from one of the data lines and the drain electrode extends from one of the pixel electrodes.

In re claim 7-10, the APAF discloses [0009] that the drain electrode and source electrode include at least a transparent conductive material (ITO). Each data line includes at least the transparent conductive material (ITO). Each pixel electrode (17) includes the transparent conductive material (ITO). The transparent conductive material

is selected from a group including indium tin oxide, indium zinc oxide, zinc oxide, tin oxide, and indium oxide.

In re claim 12, Kakuda discloses (col. 7, lines 8-28) that the materials of the metal layer are selected from the group including Au, Ag, Cu, and Al.

In re claim 14, the APAF shows (fig. 3F) that the metal layer (28) is formed at peripheral portions of the plurality of pixel electrodes and at peripheral portions of the drain electrode.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1-14 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant primarily asserts that the prior art references do not show all of the elements of the claims, specifically that the APAF and Kakuda et al. fail to show that a metal layer is formed on an entire surface of each of the data lines and the source electrode. The examiner believes that the cited references show all of the claims. As stated in the rejection above, Kakuda discloses that a source electrode extends from each of the data lines and shows (figs. 3 and 4) that the metal layer (11b) is formed on the source electrode (22) which is also considered the data line (11a). The applicant concludes that Kakuda does not disclose the metal layer being formed on an entire surface of the source electrode because a semiconductor layer(24) is formed on a gap between the source (22) and drain electrode (23) end portions. However, as shown in the overhead view of the device (fig. 3), the metal layer (11b) looks like it covers every portion of the data line (11a) and even the end portions of the

data line (which would be the source electrode). In the overhead view of the device (fig. 3) it looks as if the semiconductor layer (24) is formed over everything including the metal layer of (11b) . Therefore, Kakuda cures the deficiencies of the APAF and shows all of the elements of the claims.

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew E Warren/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

February 19, 2008